

Meeting	Local Plan Working Group
Date	23 January 2017
Present	Councillors Ayre (Chair), N Barnes, Carr (Vice-Chair), D'Agorne, Derbyshire, Levene, Lisle, Mercer, Reid, Steward, Warters, Williams and Cuthbertson (Substitute for Councillor Orrell)
Apologies	Councillor Orrell

14. Declarations of Interest

Members were asked to declare any personal interests not included on the Register of Interests, any prejudicial interests or any disclosable pecuniary interests which they might have in respect of business on the agenda.

Councillor Cuthbertson declared that he was a trustee of the York Museums Trust so had a personal non-prejudicial interest in the Castle Gateway item.

15. Minutes

Resolved: That the minutes of the meeting held on 5 December 2016 be approved as a correct record and then signed by the Chair.

16. Public Participation

It was reported that there had been one registration to speak under the Council's Public Participation Scheme on the following item:

4. Castle Gateway Vision and Draft Area of Opportunity Policy

Mr Paul Hepworth spoke, on behalf of Cycling UK, in support of the proposal for a Foss cycle bridge and for cycle underpass facilities on the inner ring road at Castle Mills. He also emphasised the that the Foss cycle bridge should be a dedicated, high quality structure.

17. **Castle Gateway Vision and Draft Area Of Opportunity Policy**

Members considered a report setting out the vision for the regeneration of the area around Piccadilly, Coppergate Centre, the Eye of York, Clifford's Tower, St George's Field and the Foss Basin, referred to as the Castle Gateway. The report also set out a draft Area of Opportunity Policy for adoption in the Local Plan.

Officers gave a brief background to the report and talked Members through the draft Area of Opportunity Policy.

In response to Member questions Officers stated:

- Routes for delivery vehicles to access commercial premises would depend on the car parking option chosen. This was currently being investigated.
- Following Coppergate 2 there were clearer parameters about what was achievable for this project.
- During discussions English Heritage had stated that the building suggested for the current car park would not work for them operationally as a visitors centre.

During discussion Members welcomed the report and made the following points:

- There should be a focus on cross party involvement in taking forward this plan.
- In terms of car parking, consideration must be given to those with disabilities. If a multi – story was chosen then provision needed to be made for accessible parking. This could also be a good opportunity to promote the 'Shopmobility' scheme and rethink its current location, which was difficult to access.

Members also requested that Item VI of the Draft Area of Opportunity Policy be amended to:

- I. Consider important sightlines across the Castle Gateway area
- II. Consider tree planting on Piccadilly

Resolved:

- I. That Officers respond to comments made at the meeting and circulate their response to Executive on Thursday 26 January 2017.
- II. That;
 - the renaming of the regeneration area as Castle Gateway be noted
 - the vision for the Castle Gateway be considered
 - the Castle Gateway draft Area of Opportunity Policy for inclusion in the emerging Local Plan be considered
 - the intention to develop a masterplan for the development of the council's assets, infrastructure and public spaces within the Castle Gateway area be noted
 - the intention to create a stakeholder group to guide and develop the masterplan be noted

Reason: To;

- change the name to better reflect the geography and nature of the area
- deliver the regeneration aims of the Castle Gateway project
- ensure the Castle Gateway vision is enshrined in planning policy
- provide a cohesive and informed design approach to the Castle Gateway
- ensure the masterplan is driven by key stakeholders as principal custodians for this area of the city

18. City Of York Local Plan - Update Report

Members then considered a report which provided an update on the emerging Local Plan and in particular on the initial consideration of the newly submitted Ministry of Defence (MOD) sites against the Local Plan Site Selection methodology following the report to Executive on 7 December 2016.

Officers gave a brief background to the report and discussed the site selection methodology which had been applied to the three MOD sites.

In response to Member questions Officers clarified that no recommendations were being made for the use of the sites, or their inclusion in the Local Plan, work to determine their suitability was ongoing.

During discussion Members made the following comments:

- Some Members expressed the view that there was still a hope the MOD may reconsider the closure of the three sites, in order to protect both jobs and the heritage of York's military connection.
- Members requested the inclusion of site maps in future reports. Officers confirmed that they were seeking further clarity on the boundary of the three MOD sites.
- There were concerns over the impact that delaying the Local Plan any further may have, particularly if it were to heighten the risk of government intervention.

Officers confirmed that a letter had been sent to the Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG) seeking reassurances, but that a response had yet to come back. Informal discussions had taken place and Officers still felt that it was important to consider these sites in detail to ensure delivery of a compliant Local Plan.

Members requested sight of the letter which had been sent to the DCLG, to which Officers agreed.

Resolved: That, in accordance with Option One, the LPWG request that the Executive:

- i. Note progress on the consideration of the identified MOD sites for housing land within the context of the Local Plan
- ii. Instruct Officers to produce a report highlighting detailed implications to the Local Development Scheme, including any budget implications.
- iii. Note the impact of the additional costs that will arise and the requirement to consider as part of the future years budget process.

Reason: To produce an NPPF compliant Local Plan.

Cllr Ayre, Chair

[The meeting started at 5.30 pm and finished at 6.25 pm].

This page is intentionally left blank